

PTC/SE/97 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2008. CMB 0551-0051

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1985, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office

On March 10, 2008

Date

Signature

Michael Moreno

Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

Registration Number, if applicable

Telephone Number

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/755,670

Title: Dynamic Selection of Lowest Latency Path in a Network Switch

Filing Date: 04 January 2001 Attorney Docket No. 233-609-USP

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

PTO Fax Number: 571-273-2885

FROM: Thomas J. Osborne, Jr./Reg. No. 39,798

Transmitted herewith are:

Fax Transmittal Cover Sheet (1 page);

issue Fee Transmittal in duplicate (2 pages);

Comments on Statements of Reasons for Allowance (3 pages)

Total Pages in Transmission: 8 Please notify us immediately (720-377-0777) if there is a problem with receipt of this fex.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.8. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to the (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.8 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application/form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Peterni and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Adjustedria, VA 22313-1460. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1460.

If you need assistance in completing the form, cell 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial Number	
Filing Date	
Inventors	
Applicant	
Examiner	Nguyen Steven
Attorney's Docket No.	MCD172/233-609-USP
Title: Dynamic Selection of Lawest Latency Dath in a Network Switch	

To: MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE
Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

From: Thomas J. Osborne, Jr. (Tel: 720-377-0759; Fax: 720-377-0777)

Customer No. 48929

COMMENTS ON STATEMENTS OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

The Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the allowance of claims 1-14, 16, 19-41, 43-44, 47-49 and 51-65 (renumbered as 1-58) in the subject application by the Examiner. The Applicant agrees with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that claims 1-14, 16, 19-41, 43-44, 47-49 and 51-65 (renumbered as 1-58) are patentable over the references in the record.

However, the Applicant expressly traverses the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that any statement is intended to or has the intended effect of limiting a claim scope, explicitly or implicitly, by not reciting verbatim the respective claim language, or is intended to or has the effect of limiting a claim scope by stating or implying that all the reasons for patentability are in any way fully enumerated. The Applicant specifically does not acquiesce or agree in any manner as to any assertion in Examiner's statements that may be interpreted to narrow the claims to less than their recited scope.

In addition, the statements made in the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance are an attempt to summarize limitations from various claims that do not necessarily reflect actual limitations in each of the claims. As such, the individual limitations in the claims need to be construed as they are found in the claims instead of as summarized in the statements made in the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance. For example, the statements

indicate that groups of claims provide a limitation of "refraining the input port" where in actuality the claims differ from this limitation. Claim 1 recites "refraining from requesting;" claim 14 recites "wherein the first input port is configured to refrain;" and claim 41 recites that, "the routing means is configured to refrain." Other limitations in these claims as well as limitations found in other claims likewise include variations that differ from the summaries provided in the statements made in the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance.

The Applicant further points out that the reasons for allowance set forth by the Examiner are not the only reasons that the claims are allowable. Further reasons for allowance of the claims beyond those enumerated by the Examiner are described and set forth in the Applicant's specification. In addition, structures and methods that perform substantially the same function in substantially the same way to achieve substantially the same results are included within the scope of the claims.

Finally, as the Examiner's reasons for allowance are not exhaustive, such reasons for allowance do not establish estoppel against Applicant seeking and obtaining allowance of additional, broader claims in a continuation application, which Applicant reserves the right to file.

By virtue of the Examiner's allowance of the claims over the cited references and associated arguments, it is believed that all arguments made by the Examiner have been overcome.

If there are any questions, please contact the undersigned attorney.

Dated: March 10, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas J. Osborne, Jr., Registration No. 39,796

Attorney for Applicant

USPTO Customer No. 48929

HENSLEY KIM & EDGINGTON, LLC

1660 Lincoln Street, Suite 3050 Denver, Colorado 80264

Tel: 720-377-0770

Fax: 720-377-0777